

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/771,988	02/04/2004		Bernadette Brown	875P011216-US (PAR)	875P011216-US (PAR) 3584	
27016	7590	06/21/2005		EXAMINER		
MARVIN A 254 SW 180T			WILKENS, JANET MARIE			
PEMBROKE PINES, FL 33029		. 33029 .	ART UNIT PAPE		PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3637		

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/771,988	BROWN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Janet M. Wilkens	3637	

Delote tite I lillig of all Appeal Difer	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) . The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of b) . The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action, or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: see attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and the second sec	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.75. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attachment.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper 、	No(s)	

Art Unit: 3637

Attachment

Non-compliance:

The amendment filed June 6, 2005 is non-compliant with the rules in accordence with 37 CFR 1.121. Namely, claims 9 and 12 were amended in the paper filed October 15, 2004. These same changes should not be again presented in the proposed amendment of June 6, 2005. Rather, these claims should be designated as "previously amended". Furthermore, as stated in the last Office action, claim 13 is not original to the case and should not be designated as such.

Affidavit:

Although the affidavit filed June 7, 2005 clarifies the meaning and use of color coded material in the photography art, it does not, in and of itself, provide sufficient cause to withdraw the art rejections present in the application.

Proposed amendments/Reconsideration

Please note that the limitation added in proposed claim 1 appears in an intended use statement and therefore has not been given patentable weight in the claim.

Furthermore, as stated in the previous Office action, a tent has many uses, not limited to the housing of persons. Finally, because of the manner in which the claims have been presented (i.e. being directed to a tent and its components and features) and because of the limited discussion of the nylon material in the specification, the art and art rejections applied to the claims are still deemed proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,988 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet M. Wilkens whose telephone number is (571) 272-6869. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wilkens June 16, 2005